

## Supplementary Material

for

# A New Nonparametric Estimate of the Risk-Neutral Density with Applications to Variance Swaps

### A. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.1

We rewrite the call and put option prices in **Eqs 3, 4** in terms of  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q, a_{q+1}$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^{RiT} \hat{P}_i &= \int_{-\infty}^{\log K_i} (K_i - e^y) f_{\Delta}(y) dy \\
 &= \sum_{l=1}^{q+1} \int_{\log K_{l-1}}^{\log K_l} (K_i - e^y) a_l dy \cdot \mathbb{1}(K_i \geq K_l) \\
 &= \sum_{l=1}^{q+1} a_l \left[ (K_i \log \frac{K_l}{K_{l-1}}) - (K_l - K_{l-1}) \right] \cdot \mathbb{1}(K_i \geq K_l), \quad i \in \mathcal{P}
 \end{aligned} \tag{S1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^{RiT} \hat{C}_i &= \int_{\log K_i}^{\infty} (e^y - K_i) f_{\Delta}(y) dy \\
 &= \sum_{l=1}^{q+1} \int_{\log K_{l-1}}^{\log K_l} (e^y - K_i) a_l dy \cdot \mathbb{1}(K_i \leq K_{l-1}) \\
 &= \sum_{l=1}^{q+1} a_l \left[ (K_l - K_{l-1}) - K_i \log \frac{K_l}{K_{l-1}} \right] \cdot \mathbb{1}(K_i < K_l), \quad i \in \mathcal{C}
 \end{aligned} \tag{S2}$$

Let  $X_{i,l}^{(p)} = [K_i \log(K_l/K_{l-1}) - (K_l - K_{l-1})] \cdot \mathbb{1}(K_i \geq K_l)$ ,  $l = 1, 2, \dots, q + 1$  be an entry of the design matrix for put options; and  $X_{i,l}^{(c)} = [(K_l - K_{l-1}) - K_i \log(K_l/K_{l-1})] \cdot \mathbb{1}(K_i < K_l)$ ,  $l = 1, 2, \dots, q + 1$  for call options. From **Eq. 2**,  $a_{q+1}$  can be represented by  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q$ , as

$$a_{q+1} = \left( 1 - \sum_{l=1}^q a_l \log \frac{K_l}{K_{l-1}} \right) (\log c_K)^{-1} \tag{S3}$$

Plugging **Eq. S3** into **Eqs S1, S2**, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^{RtT} \hat{P}_i &= \sum_{l=1}^{q+1} a_l X_{i,l}^{(p)} \\
 &= a_1 X_{i,1}^{(p)} + a_2 X_{i,2}^{(p)} + \cdots + a_q X_{i,q}^{(p)} \\
 &\quad + \left( 1 - a_1 \log \frac{K_1}{K_0} - \cdots - a_q \log \frac{K_q}{K_{q-1}} \right) (\log c_K)^{-1} X_{i,q+1}^{(p)} \\
 &= a_1 [X_{i,1}^{(p)} - (\log \frac{K_1}{K_0}) (\log c_K)^{-1} X_{i,q+1}^{(p)}] + \cdots \\
 &\quad + a_q [X_{i,q}^{(p)} - (\log \frac{K_q}{K_{q-1}}) (\log c_K)^{-1} X_{i,q+1}^{(p)}] + \frac{1}{\log c_K} X_{i,q+1}^{(p)} \\
 &\triangleq a_1 X_{i,1}^{(P)} + a_2 X_{i,2}^{(P)} + \cdots + a_q X_{i,q}^{(P)} + X_{i,q+1}^{(P)}, \quad i \in \mathcal{P}
 \end{aligned} \tag{S4}$$

where  $X_{i,l}^{(P)} = X_{i,l}^{(p)} - (\log K_l/K_{l-1})(\log c_K)^{-1} X_{i,q+1}^{(p)}$ ,  $l = 1, 2, \dots, q$  and  $X_{i,q+1}^{(P)} = X_{i,q+1}^{(p)}/\log c_K$ . Similarly for call options,

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^{RtT} \hat{C}_i &= \sum_{l=1}^{q+1} a_l X_{i,l}^{(c)} \\
 &= a_1 X_{i,1}^{(c)} + a_2 X_{i,2}^{(c)} + \cdots + a_q X_{i,q}^{(c)} \\
 &\quad + \left( 1 - a_1 \log \frac{K_1}{K_0} - \cdots - a_q \log \frac{K_q}{K_{q-1}} \right) (\log c_K)^{-1} X_{i,q+1}^{(c)} \\
 &= a_1 [X_{i,1}^{(c)} - (\log \frac{K_1}{K_0}) (\log c_K)^{-1} X_{i,q+1}^{(c)}] + \cdots \\
 &\quad + a_q [X_{i,q}^{(c)} - (\log \frac{K_q}{K_{q-1}}) (\log c_K)^{-1} X_{i,q+1}^{(c)}] + \frac{1}{\log c_K} X_{i,q+1}^{(c)} \\
 &\triangleq a_1 X_{i,1}^{(C)} + a_2 X_{i,2}^{(C)} + \cdots + a_q X_{i,q}^{(C)} + X_{i,q+1}^{(C)}, \quad i \in \mathcal{C}
 \end{aligned} \tag{S5}$$

where  $X_{i,l}^{(C)} = X_{i,l}^{(c)} - (\log K_l/K_{l-1})(\log c_K)^{-1} X_{i,q+1}^{(c)}$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, q$  and  $X_{i,q+1}^{(C)} = X_{i,q+1}^{(c)}/\log c_K$ .  $\square$

## B. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $\delta_1 = \sqrt{\epsilon} e^{RtT} / [3(1 + c_K + e)] > 0$ . There exists  $-\infty < A < 0 < B < \infty$ , such that,

$$\int_{-\infty}^A f_{\mathbb{Q}}(x) dx < \delta_1, \quad \int_{-\infty}^A e^x f_{\mathbb{Q}}(x) dx < \delta_1, \quad \int_B^{\infty} f_{\mathbb{Q}}(x) dx < \delta_1, \quad \int_B^{\infty} e^x f_{\mathbb{Q}}(x) dx < \delta_1$$

Let  $\delta_2 = \sqrt{\epsilon} e^{RtT - B} / [3(B - A + 2)] > 0$ . Since  $f_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is continuous, there exists a  $\delta > 0$ , such that, for any  $x_1, x_2 \in [A - 1, B + 1]$ ,

$$|f_{\mathbb{Q}}(x_1) - f_{\mathbb{Q}}(x_2)| < \delta_2$$

as long as  $|x_1 - x_2| < \delta$ .

For small enough  $K_1, |\Delta|$  and large enough  $q, K_q$ , there exist integers  $u, v$ , such that,  $1 < u < u + 1 < v < v + 1 < q$ ,  $\log K_u \leq A < \log K_{u+1}$ ,  $\log K_v < B \leq \log K_{v+1}$ ,  $|\Delta| < \delta$ .

We construct a  $f_\Delta$  by defining

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= (\log c_K)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\log K_1} f_{\mathbb{Q}}(x) dx \geq 0 \\ a_i &= [\log(K_i/K_{i-1})]^{-1} \int_{\log K_{i-1}}^{\log K_i} f_{\mathbb{Q}}(x) dx \geq 0, \quad i = 2, \dots, q \\ a_{q+1} &= (\log c_K)^{-1} \int_{\log K_q}^{\infty} f_{\mathbb{Q}}(x) dx \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

It can be verified that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_\Delta(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^{q+1} a_i \log(K_i/K_{i-1}) = 1$ . Let

$$\Delta_f = \max_{u \leq i \leq v} \left( \max_{\log K_i \leq x \leq \log K_{i+1}} f_{\mathbb{Q}}(x) - \min_{\log K_i \leq x \leq \log K_{i+1}} f_{\mathbb{Q}}(x) \right)$$

Then  $|\Delta| < \delta$  implies  $\Delta_f \leq \delta_2$ . It can be verified that

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{C}_i - \tilde{C}_i| &< \begin{cases} \sqrt{\epsilon}/3, & \text{for } i = v + 1, \dots, q \\ 2\sqrt{\epsilon}/3, & \text{for } i = u, \dots, v \\ \sqrt{\epsilon}, & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, u - 1 \end{cases} \\ |\hat{P}_i - \tilde{P}_i| &< \begin{cases} \sqrt{\epsilon}/3, & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, u \\ 2\sqrt{\epsilon}/3, & \text{for } i = u + 1, \dots, v + 1 \\ \sqrt{\epsilon}, & \text{for } i = v + 2, \dots, q \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

In other words, there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_{q+1}$ , such that,  $(\hat{C}_i - \tilde{C}_i)^2 < \epsilon$ ,  $(\hat{P}_i - \tilde{P}_i)^2 < \epsilon$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, q$ . It implies the  $(a_1, \dots, a_{q+1})$  that minimizes  $L(a_1, \dots, a_{q+1})$  also satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2q} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^q (\hat{C}_i - \tilde{C}_i)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^q (\hat{P}_i - \tilde{P}_i)^2 \right] < \epsilon$$

which leads to the conclusion. □

### C. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4.1

Since  $\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}[\sum_{i=1}^T R_i^2] = \sum_{i=1}^t R_i^2 + \sum_{i=t+1}^T \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}[R_i^2]$ , the key part

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=t+1}^T \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}[R_i^2] &= \sum_{i=t+1}^T \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}[\log \frac{S_i}{S_{i-1}}]^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=t+1}^T [\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_i)^2 + \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{i-1})^2 - 2\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_i)(\log S_{i-1})] \\
&= \sum_{i=t+1}^T \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_i)^2 + \sum_{i=t+1}^T \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{i-1})^2 - 2 \sum_{i=t+1}^T \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}[\log S_{i-1} + \log(\frac{S_i}{S_{i-1}})][\log S_{i-1}] \\
&= \sum_{i=t+1}^T \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_i)^2 + \sum_{i=t+1}^T \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{i-1})^2 - 2 \sum_{i=t+1}^T \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{i-1})^2 \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_{i=t+1}^T \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}[\log S_{i-1}][\log(\frac{S_i}{S_{i-1}})] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}[\log S_T]^2 - [\log S_t]^2 - 2 \sum_{i=t+1}^T \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}[\log S_{i-1}][\log(\frac{S_i}{S_{i-1}})] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}[\log S_T]^2 - [\log S_t]^2 - 2 \sum_{i=t+1}^T \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}[\log S_{i-1}]\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}[\log(\frac{S_i}{S_{i-1}})] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}[\log S_T]^2 - [\log S_t]^2 - 2 \sum_{i=t+1}^T [\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}} \log S_{i-1} \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}} \log S_i - (\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}} \log S_{i-1})^2]
\end{aligned}$$

Then **Eq. 12** can be obtained by plugging  $\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}[\sum_{i=1}^T R_i^2]$  into **Eq. 11**. □

### D. LINEAR INTERPOLATION FOR 1ST AND 2ND MOMENTS IN SECTION 4.1

**Mean imputation** Suppose the trading day is  $t$  and the expiration day is  $T$ . We denote all possible expiration dates of traded contracts by  $t + n_1, t + n_2, \dots$ . Suppose the time point to be imputed is  $t + n_0$ . Given all the information available at day  $t$ ,  $\log S_t$  can be regarded as its expectation at day  $t$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}} \log S_t$ . Therefore, we consider cases separately according to whether or not  $t + n_0$  is in the interval  $[t, t + n_1]$  and then apply linear interpolation to obtain the mean of  $\log S_{t+n_0}$ . More specifically, there are two cases:

**Case 1:**  $n_0 \in [0, n_1]$  and  $\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_1})$  has been calculated.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_0}) &= \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_1}) - \frac{(n_1 - n_0)[\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_1}) - \log S_t]}{n_1} \\
&= \frac{n_0 \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_1}) + (n_1 - n_0) \log(S_t)}{n_1}
\end{aligned}$$

**Case 2:**  $n_0 \in [n_i, n_{i+1}]$  for some  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . The expectations  $\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_i})$  and  $\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_{i+1}})$  have already been calculated.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_0}) &= \frac{(n_0 - n_i)[\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_{i+1}}) - \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_i})]}{n_{i+1} - n_i} + \mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_i}) \\ &= \frac{(n_0 - n_i)\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_{i+1}}) + (n_{i+1} - n_0)\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_i})}{n_{i+1} - n_i} \end{aligned}$$

**Variance Imputation** In order to calculate the variance  $\mathbb{V}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_0})$  at day  $t$ , we use a similar interpolation based on the available variances of log returns at day  $t$  with expiration  $T$ . Based on the scatterplot (not shown here) of all available variances that we have from the existing contracts, the trend of variances has a curved pattern against the number of days to expiration. More specifically, it is roughly a quadratic curve. Before we implement a linear interpolation, we first perform a square-root transformation of variances.

**Case 1:**  $n_0 \in [0, n_1]$ .  $\mathbb{V}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_1})$  has been calculated. Then

$$\sqrt{\mathbb{V}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_0})} = \frac{n_0 \sqrt{\mathbb{V}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_1})}}{n_1}$$

**Case 2:**  $n_0 \in [n_i, n_{i+1}]$  for some  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . The values  $\mathbb{V}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_i})$  and  $\mathbb{V}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_{i+1}})$  have been calculated. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sqrt{\mathbb{V}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_0})} \\ &= \sqrt{\mathbb{V}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_0})} - \sqrt{\mathbb{V}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_i})} + \sqrt{\mathbb{V}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_i})} \\ &= \frac{(n_0 - n_i) \left[ \sqrt{\mathbb{V}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_{i+1}})} - \sqrt{\mathbb{V}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_i})} \right]}{n_{i+1} - n_i} + \sqrt{\mathbb{V}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_i})} \\ &= \frac{(n_0 - n_i) \sqrt{\mathbb{V}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_{i+1}})} + (n_{i+1} - n_0) \sqrt{\mathbb{V}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_i})}}{n_{i+1} - n_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the second moment is

$$\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_0})^2 = [\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_0})]^2 + \mathbb{V}_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_{t+n_0})$$

A fair price of variance swap  $V_{S_t, T}$  can be obtained by the pricing formula **Eq. 11**.